

California Transportation Commission										
December 7-8, 2016 Meeting - Extension Requests / Staff Recommendation										
Agenda										
Item #	Ref #	Extension Type	Proj #	PPNO	County	Agency	Extension Request	Caltrans	CTC Staff	Notes
74	2.8a.(1)	Contract Award - ATP	1	1521	PLA	City of Auburn	9 months	9 months	9 months	PG&E and UPRR took longer than anticipated to get the required property easements.
			2	1523	PLA	City of Colfax	6 months	6 months	6 months	The one bid received was above the Engineer's Estimate. The Agency will re-advertise the project.
			3	1040C	SM	City of San Mateo	6 months	6 months	6 months	Project scope needs to be reduced because a number of the improvements specified in the original scope were completed using other funds.
			4	2190E	ALA	City of Alameda	3 months	3 months	3 months	Delays were due to the Department of Toxic Substance Control requiring additional changes to the Remedial Action Workplan.
			5	2691	SC	City of Santa Cruz	3 months	3 months	3 months	Delays were due to the Department of California Fish and Wildlife (CAFW) taking longer than anticipated to issue a permit. In addition, CAFW imposed a "seasonal work" period for construction.
			6	6751	KER	City of Wasco	2 months	2 months	2 months	The Agency encountered issues with the low bidder's Disadvantage Business Enterprise Good Faith Effort requirement.
			7	5001	SJ	City of Stockton	8 months	8 months	8 months	The three bids received were above the Engineer's Estimate. The Agency will re-advertise the project.
			8	3104	SJ	City of Stockton	8 months	8 months	8 months	The one bid received was above the Engineer's Estimate. The Agency will re-advertise the project.
			9	3187	SJ	City of Stockton	8 months	8 months	8 months	The one bid received was above the Engineer's Estimate. The Agency will re-advertise the project.
75	2.8b.(2)	Contract Award - STIP (Local)	1	6576	SAC	City of Galt	19 months	19 months	19 months	The lowest bid was 30% above the Engineer's Estimate. They plan to use funds they expect to receive from a lawsuit they filed against the State over redevelopment bond financing, the settlement could take a year.
76	2.8b.(3)	Contract Award - SHOPP	1	2292Y	SB	Caltrans	6 months	6 months	6 months	The two bids received were above the Engineer's Estimate. The Department will re-advertise the project.
			2	2360	SB	Caltrans	6 months	6 months	6 months	Three bids were received. The lowest bid requested a bid relief due to errors in their bid. The other two bids were above the Engineer's Estimate.
			3	6697	FRE/MAD	Caltrans	12 months	12 months	9 months	Four bids were received. The lowest bid did not submit their paperwork timely and did not extend their bid, the second bid was non-responsive. The third and fourth bidders were above the Engineer's Estimate. The Department, after conferring with bidders, is revising contract plans to reduce cost and will be re-advertising the project.
77	2.8b.(4)	Contract Award - STIP (Local)	1	9657	ORA	OCTA	6 months	6 months	6 months	The Design plans did not meet the Federal Transit Administration's "Buy America" requirement. The Agency had to cancel the Invitation for Bid (IFB). A new IFB will be issued in December.

California Transportation Commission										
December 7-8, 2016 Meeting - Extension Requests / Staff Recommendation										
Agenda										
Item #	Ref #	Extension Type	Proj #	PPNO	County	Agency	Extension Request	Recommendations		Notes
								Caltrans	CTC Staff	
78	2.8b.(5)	Contract Award - TIRCP	1		LA	LAMTA	6 months	6 months	6 months	Project contract award was delay due to a delay in finalizing contract language modification.
79	2.8b.(6)	Contract Award - TIRCP	1		SJ	SJRTD	9 months	9 months	9 months	The Agency encountered delays in completing Design and Right of Way phases.
80	2.8c.	Project Completion - STIP	1	2030A	SJ	Caltrans	20 months	20 months	20 months	The approval to add a track crossing to the project by the California Public Utilities Commission took longer than expected.