

Caltrans' Summary of Issue Resolutions and Recommendations for CTC Recommended Projects with Eligibility, Deliverability, and PSR Issues										
Project Application Number (Dist-Agency- App No.)	Staff Recommendations List	Asterisk on CTC List (Yes/No)	Caltrans identified issue (Yes/No)	Field Review Findings	Date Caltrans first notified agency (via email)	Date agency responded	Are there still significant outstanding application issues that Caltrans is working with the Agency on? (Yes/No)	Summary of Next Steps and/or Outstanding Issues	Recommended CTC Actions prior to Programming	Additional Information
3-Butte County-3	Statewide	No	Yes	N/A	1/11/2019	1/23/2019	No	None	Accept revised 22-R as part of original application.	Agency followed-up via email and brief phone call on 1/17/19. Agency submitted revised 22-R that: 1) removed resource center tasks and replaced them with overall program coordination tasks. 2) revised schedule to fall within 36 months (not 46 months as originally proposed)
4-San Francisco Public Works-1	Statewide	Yes	No	N/A	1/9/2019	1/23/2019	No	None	1) Move CON to FY 20/21. 2) Accept revised project cost estimate as part of original application.	1) The agency prepared a Project Cost Estimate on the ATP Cycle 4 template. The new estimate includes \$313,509 in Non-participating costs due to ineligible items. 2) The agency supports Caltrans' suggestion to move the CON funding to the 20/21 year.
5-Goleta-1	Statewide	Yes	Yes	1) Class I was not designed to standard on a portion of project. 2) Trail connections were not shown on plans.	1/10/2019	1/23/19 1/25/19	Yes	1) Agency to submit revised scoping documents and schedule on January 28. 2) Caltrans to review agency's updated PSR documents: confirm scope has not changed. 3) Working with agency on schedule.	TBD	Draft CTC Staff Recommendations provided \$987K of the requested amount of \$17M. Revised Staff Recommendations provided \$14.6M. Caltrans called the agency on 1/23/19 to confirm they are moving forward with the project as planned with the remaining funding availability undetermined. Agency committed to continue with the project assuming that it will be fully funded. Agency plans to turn in revised plans and schedule on 1/28/19.
5-Transportation Agency for Monterey County-1	Statewide	Yes	Yes	1) Confirmed that plans are incomplete and did not include entire scope.	1/9/2019	1/16/2019 1/23/19	Yes	1) Agency submitted revised scoping documents and schedule on January 23. 2) Caltrans to review agency's updated PSR documents: confirm scope has not changed. 3) Working with agency on schedule.	TBD	First Round: Teleconference (Agency, HQ & District) Agency supported all Caltrans comments and committed to provide the new plans and schedule by 1/23/19. Second Round: Revised plans and schedule were provided on 1/23/19.
5-Transportation Agency for Monterey County-2	Statewide	No	Yes	N/A	1/14/2019	TBD	Yes	Agency needs to submit revised 22-R that: 1) ensures proper NEPA/CEQA process for paint. 2) corrects the funding totals on the 22-R to match the application cover page. 3) verifies the ICAP rate. 4) provides more detail in Task G.	TBD	Agency followed-up via email on 1/15/19. Agency committed to addressing all items with a revised 22-R by 1/25/19 (no response yet as of 1/25/19 at noon).
5-UC Santa Cruz-1	Small Urban & Rural	Yes	No	N/A	1/10/2019	1/16/19 1/23/19	No	No outstanding issues	Move CON to FY 20/21	Agency responded by email and committed to submitting the changes. All questions were addressed via email.

Caltrans' Summary of Issue Resolutions and Recommendations for CTC Recommended Projects with Eligibility, Deliverability, and PSR Issues

Project Application Number (Dist-Agency- App No.)	Staff Recommendations List	Asterisk on CTC List (Yes/No)	Caltrans identified issue (Yes/No)	Field Review Findings	Date Caltrans first notified agency (via email)	Date agency responded	Are there still significant outstanding application issues that Caltrans is working with the Agency on? (Yes/No)	Summary of Next Steps and/or Outstanding Issues	Recommended CTC Actions prior to Programming	Additional Information
7-Durarte-1	Statewide	No	Yes	N/A	1/9/2019	1/16/2019	No	The agency responded that the 'and/or' was a typo, it should read 'and'	Revise project scope and description to: Class II bicycle and pedestrian gap closure improvements on Evergreen Street and Central Avenue, walk path improvements, and 3 pedestrian underpass improvements at I-210 freeway.	The issue was that the application project description says Class II bicycle and/or pedestrian gap closure improvements. The e-mail informed the agency that the scope would be changed to and , if they were ok with this change; otherwise they needed to follow the steps in the e-mail
7-LA Dept. of Transportation-11	Statewide	No	Yes	N/A	1/24/2019	1/25/2019 TBD	Yes	The agency will submit a revised Engineer's Estimate to meet PSR standards. The agency has committed to getting the new estimates to Caltrans by 1/29/19.	TBD	This project was added to the funded list after Compton project removed.
7-LA Dept. of Transportation-13	Statewide	Yes	Yes	1) Agency plans to use ATP funds for school drop off zone.	1/8/2019	1/14/2019 TBD	Yes	The agency will submit a revised Engineer's Estimate to meet PSR standards. The agency has committed to getting the new estimates to Caltrans by 1/29/19.	TBD	The issue was all of the estimate items were Lump Sum. The agency sent revised info asking if they had corrected all of the issues. Dale B. is working with them to correct a few of the items.
7-LA Dept. of Transportation-14	Statewide	Yes	Yes	N/A	1/8/2019	1/14/2019 TBD	Yes	The agency will submit a revised Engineer's Estimate to meet PSR standards. The agency has committed to getting the new estimates to Caltrans by 1/29/19	TBD	The issue was all of the estimate items were Lump Sum. The agency sent revised info asking if they had corrected all of the issues. Dale B. is working with them to correct a few of the items.
7-Los Angeles County Department of Public Health-1	Statewide	Yes	Yes	N/A	1/11/2019	1/23/2019	No	No outstanding issues	Accept revised 22-Plan as part of original application.	Agency followed-up via phone call on 1/11/19. Agency re-submitted revised 22-Plan that: 1) provided detailed public outreach tasks in 22-Plan to match application narrative.
8-San Bernardino Association of Governments-1	Statewide	Yes	Yes	N/A	1/8/2019	1/10/2019	Yes	Caltrans is working with the agency to verify the deliverable scope. According to the agency, the original scope will have to be changed to accommodate roadway width.	TBD	Agency confirmed that the roadway is likely too narrow to fit the proposed project. The agency is proposing sharrows instead of a buffered bike lane for a portion of the project.
10-Stockton-1	Statewide	Yes	Yes	N/A	1/9/2019	1/14/2019 1/23/2019	Yes	Caltrans to review agency's updated PSR documents: confirm scope has not changed	TBD	<u>First Round:</u> Teleconference (Agency, HQ & District) Agency supported all Caltrans comments and committed to provide the new plans and schedule by 1/23/19. <u>Second Round:</u> Revised plans and schedule were provided on 1/23/19.

Caltrans' Summary of Issue Resolutions and Recommendations for CTC Recommended Projects with Eligibility, Deliverability, and PSR Issues

Project Application Number (Dist-Agency- App No.)	Staff Recommendations List	Asterisk on CTC List (Yes/No)	Caltrans identified issue (Yes/No)	Field Review Findings	Date Caltrans first notified agency (via email)	Date agency responded	Are there still significant outstanding application issues that Caltrans is working with the Agency on? (Yes/No)	Summary of Next Steps and/or Outstanding Issues	Recommended CTC Actions prior to Programming	Additional Information
10-Stockton-3	Statewide	Yes	Yes	N/A	1/9/2019	1/14/2019 1/23/2019	Yes	Caltrans to review agency's updated PSR documents: confirm scope has not changed	TBD	<p><u>First Round:</u> Teleconference (Agency, HQ & District) Agency supported all Caltrans comments and committed to provide the new plans and schedule by 1/23/19.</p> <p><u>Second Round:</u> Revised plans and schedule were provided on 1/23/19.</p>
11-National City-2	Statewide	Yes	Yes	1) Confirmed that project is deliverable as presented in the application.	1/8/2019	N/A	No	No outstanding issues	None	The agency has met with all necessary Caltrans departments, and impacted business owners. All issues were resolved at the December field review.
11-Vista-2	Statewide	Yes	Yes	1) Confirmed that project is deliverable as presented in the application.	1/8/2019	1/14/2019	No	No outstanding issues	None	They are happy with their schedule and everything will fit.

Caltrans' Summary of Site Visit Findings for CTC Recommended Projects Without Asterisks or Caltrans Identified Issues

Project Application Number (Dist-Agency- App No.)	Staff Recommendations List	Asterisk on CTC List	Caltrans identified issue	Field Review Findings
1-Humboldt County-1	Statewide	No	No	Project appears to be deliverable
3-Chico-1	Small Urban & Rural	No	No	Project appears to be deliverable
3-Placer County Transportation Planning Agency-1	Statewide	No	No	Project appears to be deliverable
7-Long Beach-2	Statewide	No	No	On street parking is being removed
7-Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority-1	Statewide	No	No	Project appears to be deliverable